CS 352 Flow Control; Congestion Control

Lecture 15

http://www.cs.rutgers.edu/~sn624/352-F22

Srinivas Narayana



Quick recap of concepts





We want to increase throughput, but ...



Flow Control

Socket buffers can become full

- Applications may read data slower than the sender is pushing data in
 - Example: what if an app infrequently or never calls recv()?
- There may be too much reordering or packet loss in the network
 - What if the first few bytes of a window are lost or delayed?
- Receivers can only buffer so much before dropping subsequent data



Goal: avoid drops due to buffer fill

- Have a TCP sender only send as much as the free buffer space available at the receiver.
- Amount of free buffer varies over time!
- TCP implements flow control
- Receiver's ACK contains the amount of data the sender can transmit without running out the receiver's socket buffer
- This number is called the advertised window size



Flow control in TCP headers



TCP Header Format

Note that one tick mark represents one bit position.

 Receiver advertises to sender (in the ACK) how much free buffer is available





Note that one tick mark represents one bit position.

- Subsequently, the sender's sliding window cannot be larger than this value
- Restriction on new sequence numbers that can be transmitted
- == restriction on sending rate!





• If receiver app is too slow reading data:

- receiver socket buffer fills up
- So, advertised window shrinks
- So, sender's window shrinks
- So, sender's sending rate reduces





Flow control matches the sender's write speed to the receiver's read speed.





Sizing the receiver's socket buffer

- Operating systems have a default receiver socket buffer size
 - Listed among sysctl -a | grep net.inet.tcp on MAC
 - Listed among sysctl -a | grep net.ipv4.tcp on Linux
- If socket buffer is too small, sender can't keep too many packets in flight → lower throughput
- If socket buffer is too large, too much memory consumed per socket
- How big should the receiver socket buffer be?

Q: how large a receiver socket buffer?

- Case 1: Suppose the receiving app is reading data too slowly:
 - no amount of receiver buffer can prevent low sender throughput if the connection is long-lived!

Q: how large a receiver socket buffer?

- Case 2: Suppose the receiving app reads sufficiently fast *on average* to match the sender's writing speed.
 - Assume the sender has a window of size W.
 - The receiver must use a buffer of size at least W. Why?
- Captures two cases:
- (1) When the first sequence #s in the window are dropped
 - Selective repeat: data in window buffered until the ACKs of delivered data (within window) reach sender. Adv. win reduces sender's window
- (2) When the sender sends a burst of data of size W
 - Receiver may not match the *instantaneous* rate of the sender

Summary of flow control

- Keep memory buffers available at the receiver whenever the sender transmits data
- Buffers needed to hold for selective repeat and reassemble data in order
- Inform the sender on an on-going basis (each ACK)
- Function: match sender speed to receiver speed
- Correct socket buffer sizing is important for TCP throughput

Info on (tuning) TCP stack parameters

- <u>https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/linuxonibm/liaag/wkvm/wkvm_c_tune_tcpip.htm</u>
- <u>https://cloud.google.com/solutions/tcp-optimization-for-network-performance-in-gcp-and-hybrid</u>

Congestion Control



Fraction of link used (link load)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Network_congestion#Congestive_collapse

How should multiple endpoints share net?



- It is difficult to know where the **bottleneck** link is
- It is difficult to know how many other endpoints are using that link
- Endpoints may join and leave at any time
- Network paths may change over time, leading to different bottleneck links (with different link rates) over time

No one can centrally view or control all the endpoints and bottlenecks in the Internet.

Every endpoint must try to reach a globally good outcome by itself: i.e., in a distributed fashion.

This also puts a lot of trust in endpoints.

If there is spare capacity in the bottleneck link, the endpoints should use it.

If there are N endpoints sharing a bottleneck link, they should be able to get equitable shares of the link's capacity.

For example: 1/N'th of the link capacity.

Flow Control vs.

- Avoid overwhelming the receiving application
- Sender is managing the receiver's socket buffer

Congestion Control

- Avoid overwhelming the bottleneck network link
- Sender is managing the bottleneck link capacity and bottleneck router buffers

How to achieve this?

Approach: sense and react Example: taking a shower Use a feedback loop with signals and knobs



Н

Signals and Knobs in Congestion Control

Signals

- Packets being ACK'ed
- Packets being dropped (e.g. RTO fires)
- Packets being delayed (RTT)
- Rate of incoming ACKs

Implicit feedback signals measured directly at sender. (There are also explicit signals that the network might provide.)

Knobs

- What can you change to "probe" the available bottleneck capacity?
- Suppose receiver buffer is unbounded:
- Increase window/sending rate: e.g., add x or multiply by a factor of x
- Decrease window/sending rate: e.g., subtract x or reduce by a factor of x

Sense and react, sure...but how?

- Where do you want to be?
 - The steady state
- How do you get there?
 - Congestion control algorithms
- Sense accurately
- React proportionately



The Steady State

Efficiency of a single TCP conversation

What does efficiency look like?

 Suppose we want to achieve an efficient outcome for one TCP conversation by observing network signals from the endpoint



- Q: How should the endpoint behave at steady state?
- Challenge: bottleneck link is remotely located

Steady state: Ideal goal

- High sending rate: Use the full capacity of the bottleneck link
- Low delay: Minimize the overall delay of packets to get to the receiver
 - Overall delay = propagation + queueing + transmission
 - Assume propagation and transmission components fixed
- "Low delay" reduces to low queueing delay
- i.e., don't push so much data into the network that packets have to wait in queues
- Key question: When to send the next packet?

When to send the next packet?



Rationale

- When the sender receives an ACK, that's a signal that the previous packet has left the bottleneck link (and the rest of the network)
- Hence, it must be safe to send another packet without congesting the bottleneck link
- Such transmissions are said to follow packet conservation
- ACK clocking: "Clock" of ACKs governs packet transmissions

ACK clocking: analogy

- How to avoid crowding a grocery store?
- Strategy: Send the next waiting customer exactly when a customer exits the store



• However, this strategy alone can lead to inefficient use of resources...

ACK clocking alone can be inefficient



ACK clocking alone can be inefficient Sender pushing data slowly Large delay T Send data Data packet on ACK Sender Receiver

The sending rate should be high enough to keep the "pipe" full Analogy: a grocery store with only 1 customer in entire store If the store isn't "full", you're using store space inefficiently

Steady State of Congestion Control

- Send at the highest rate possible (to keep the pipe full)
- while being ACK-clocked (to avoid congesting the pipe)
- So, how to get to steady state?