

Problem set 3

Due: 11:59PM, December 12, 2021

**Problem 1.** In the *set disjointness* communication problem, Alice and Bob are given subsets  $A, B \subseteq [N]$ , respectively. The goal is to determine whether or not  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

- (i) Prove that the deterministic communication complexity of this problem is  $D(\text{set-disjointness}) = \Omega(N)$ .  
(10 points)

*Remark.* One can in fact prove that even randomized communication complexity of this problem is  $R(\text{set-disjointness}) = \Omega(N)$ ; this requires a much more involved proof and we do not cover it.

- (ii) Use this in a reduction to prove that any *constant pass* deterministic streaming algorithm for undirected connectivity requires  $\Omega(n)$  bits of space.  
(10 points)

**Problem 2.** In Lecture 10, we designed a streaming algorithm for the  $k$ -center clustering problem when points  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \{1, \dots, \Delta\}^d$  are arriving one by one in the stream. For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , the algorithm achieves a  $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation by storing  $O(k \cdot \frac{\log D}{\varepsilon})$  points where  $D = \sqrt{d} \cdot \Delta$  is the maximum possible value for the optimum solution. Our goal in this problem is to improve the space complexity of this algorithm at a cost of increasing its approximation ratio by a constant factor.

Design a streaming algorithm for the  $k$ -center clustering problem that achieves an  $O(1)$ -approximation by storing only  $O(k)$  points throughout the stream.  
(20 points)

**Bonus part:** Can you reduce the approximation ratio to  $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation again by storing only  $O(k/\varepsilon \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon))$  points instead?  
(+25 points)

*Hint:* The original approach in the lecture was based on two steps: (i) Designing an  $O(k)$ -space intermediate streaming algorithm that given a parameter  $\tau \in [1, D]$ , either outputs a clustering  $C$  with cost at most  $2 \cdot \tau$ , or outputs that the optimal solution has cost more than  $\tau$ ; (ii) then we did a simple geometric search by running the algorithm above for  $O(\frac{\log D}{\varepsilon})$  choices of  $\tau \in \{1, (1 + \varepsilon), (1 + \varepsilon)^2, \dots, D\}$  in parallel.

Modify the second step by performing the geometric search *sequentially* by updating the current guess for  $\tau$  on the fly whenever it is smaller than the optimum value.

**Problem 3.** Design a single-pass semi-streaming algorithm for finding a minimum spanning tree (MST) of the input *weighted* undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  where the weight of each edge is revealed at the same time with the edge in the stream.

You may assume that  $G$  is connected and all edge-weights are distinct.  
(20 points)

**Problem 4.** In Lecture 11, we mentioned the following result:

- **Palette Sparsification Theorem:** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Suppose for every vertex  $v \in V$ , we *independently and uniformly at random* sample  $O(\log n)$  colors  $L(v)$  from  $\{1, \dots, \Delta + 1\}$ . Then, with high probability, there is a proper coloring of  $G$  in which the color of every vertex  $v$  is chosen from  $L(v)$ .

Based on this theorem, we showed how to design a semi-streaming algorithm for  $(\Delta + 1)$  coloring. Let us now consider sublinear time algorithms from the first half of the course.

Use the palette sparsification theorem to give an  $\tilde{O}(n^{3/2})$  query algorithm for  $(\Delta + 1)$  coloring problem in the general query model (defined in Lecture 2). Note that for this problem, we only focus on the *query complexity* of the algorithms and not their time complexity. **(20 points)**

*Hint:* Give an  $\tilde{O}(n^{3/2})$  query and time algorithm for finding the *conflict graph* defined in the context of the Palette Sparsification Theorem; then apply this theorem to finalize the proof.

**Problem 5.** Recall that a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is said to have **arboricity**  $\alpha(G) = \alpha$  if

$$\alpha = \max_{S \subseteq V, |S| > 1} \left\lceil \frac{|E(S)|}{|S| - 1} \right\rceil,$$

where  $E(S)$  denotes the set of edges with both endpoints in  $S$ . In Problem set 1, we considered designing a query algorithm for estimating arboricity of a given graph.

In this problem, the goal is to extend this result to the dynamic streaming model. Design a semi-streaming algorithm (using graph sketching ideas) that given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  specified in a dynamic stream and a parameter  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , outputs an estimate  $\tilde{\alpha}$  such that:

$$\Pr (|\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha(G)| > \varepsilon \cdot \alpha(G)) < 1/10.$$

**(20 points)**