

Lecture No. 15

Date: 03-23-95

Scribe: Ramkrishna Chatterjee

We would like to show that monotone circuits for 3-clique require approximately n^3 gates. Here n is the number of vertices in the given graph. We do this by proving a lower bound on the minimum size of a cover (defined below) required to cover a subset of all the monotone functions defined on the power set of a subset (see below) of zeros of the 3-clique function. Let us make these notions precise using the following definitions.

Let n be the number of vertices in the given graph. The input is a $\binom{n}{2}$ bit vector x where x_i is 1 if and only if edge e_i belongs to the given graph. Let us call such an input vector an edge incidence vector.

Definition 1 Let $U = \{x | x \text{ is an edge incidence vector for a complete bipartite graph}\}$. So $\forall x \in U$ $3\text{clique}(x) = 0$.

Definition 2 $\Omega_{\text{FILTERS}} = \{\omega | \omega : 2^U \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \text{ and } \omega \text{ is monotone and } \omega(\phi) = 0 \text{ and } \omega \text{ defines a 1 of 3-clique}\}$

Definition 3 Let $C \subseteq 2^U \times 2^U$. C is called a cover for Ω_{FILTERS} if and only if for each $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{FILTERS}}$, $\exists (f, g) \in C$ such that

$$\omega(f) \wedge \omega(g) \neq \omega(f \cap g)$$

Definition 4 Let $S \subseteq U$. The measure of S is defined as

$$\mu(S) = \frac{|S|}{|U|}$$

Definition 5 Let us define the size of a minimum cover as follows.

$$\hat{\rho}_{\text{FILTERS}}(3\text{clique}) = \min\{|C| | C \text{ is a cover for } \Omega_{\text{FILTERS}}\}$$

Claim 1 $\hat{\rho}_{\text{FILTERS}}(3\text{clique}) \geq \frac{\binom{n}{3}}{18^{2 \log n^4}}$.

Proof: Let C be a given cover of size t . If t is less than the above bound, we will show that there exists a member ω_1 of $\Omega_{FILTERS}$, which is not covered by C (i.e. $\forall (f, g) \in C \omega_1(g) \wedge \omega_1(f) = \omega_1(g \cap f)$).

We construct ω_1 as follows.

First we construct a set Q as follows:

Begin

$$Q = U$$

Initially all $(g, h) \in C$ are “unmarked”.

Repeat

If there is some “unmarked” $(g, h) \in C$
such that $\mu(g \cap Q) \geq \mu(Q) - \frac{1}{n^3}$
(or $\mu(g \cap Q) \geq \mu(Q) - \frac{1}{n^3}$)
then

$$Q = Q \cap g \text{ (respectively, } Q = Q \cap h)$$

$$\text{mark } (g, h)$$

Until no more pairs can be marked

End.

Remark: $\mu(Q) \geq 1 - \frac{t}{n^3}$. This is because the loop executes at most t times, and in each iteration $\mu(Q)$ reduces by $\frac{1}{n^3}$.

Definition 6 Let e_i, e_j, e_k be a triangle. Here e_i, e_j and e_k are edges. For each edge e_i ($1 \leq i \leq \binom{n}{2}$), let $X_i = \{y \mid y \in U \text{ and } e_i \in y\}$. Let $\omega_\Delta : 2^U \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

$$\omega_\Delta(g) = 1, \text{ if } X_i \cap Q \subseteq g \text{ for } l \in \{i, j, k\}. \text{ Otherwise it is } 0$$

Now we try to find a ω_Δ which belongs to $\Omega_{FILTERS}$ and is consistent with C (i.e not covered by C). This will show that C fails to cover $\Omega_{FILTERS}$ and hence give us a lower bound for t .

Claim 2 Any ω_Δ is monotone.

Proof: Obvious from the definition of ω_Δ .

Claim 3 ω_Δ is consistent with any “marked” pair (g, h) .

Proof: ω_Δ is monotone. This means the only way it can be inconsistent is :

$$\omega_\Delta(h) = \omega_\Delta(g) = 1 \text{ and } \omega_\Delta(g \cap h) = 0$$

Since (g, h) is “marked”, $Q \subseteq g$ (or $Q \subseteq h$). Now suppose $\omega_\Delta(h) = 1$. This means $\exists l \in \{i, j, k\}$ such that $X_l \cap Q \subseteq h$. But $Q \subseteq g$. So $X_l \cap Q \subseteq g$ and therefore $X_l \cap Q \subseteq g \cap h$. Hence $\omega_\Delta(g \cap h) = 1$.

So we need to find a ω_Δ which is consistent with the “unmarked” pairs in C . This is made possible by the following lemma.

Definition 7 Let $H_g = \{e_i : X_i \cap Q \subseteq g \cap Q\}$.

Lemma 1 If $|H_g| > 2r^2$ then $\mu(g \cap Q) \geq \mu(Q) - \frac{1}{2r}$.

Proof: Suppose $|H_g| > 2r^2$. Let us view each edge as a subset consisting of two vertices. The “Sunflower” lemma (proved in the next lecture) implies that one of the following two holds (these two situations are not mutually exclusive):

1. H_g contains at least r edges which are incident on the same vertex.
2. H_g has at least r disjoint edges (i.e no two edges are incident on a common vertex).

First consider the first situation. Let for simplicity e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r be the edges which have a vertex in common. Let $\bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i = X$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(Q) &= \mu(Q \cap X) + \mu(Q \cap \bar{X}) \\ \Rightarrow \mu(Q \cap X) &= \mu(Q) - \mu(Q \cap \bar{X}) \\ \text{But, } \mu(Q \cap \bar{X}) &\leq \mu(\bar{X}) \\ \Rightarrow \mu(Q \cap X) &\geq \mu(Q) - \mu(\bar{X}) \\ \text{Also, } \mu(\bar{X}) &= \frac{2^{n-r-1} - 1}{2^{n-1} - 1} \leq \frac{1}{2r} \\ \text{Further, } g \cap Q &\supseteq Q \cap X \\ \Rightarrow \mu(g \cap Q) &\geq \mu(Q \cap X) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2r} \end{aligned}$$

We can argue similarly for the second situation.

Let $r = 3 \log n$. Thus for each “unmarked” pair (g, h) ,

$$\mu(g \cap Q) < \mu(Q) - \frac{1}{n^3} = \mu(Q) - \frac{1}{2^r}$$

So by the above lemma $|H_g| \leq 18 (\log n)^2$ ($|H_h| \leq 18 (\log n)^2$).

Consider any ω_Δ . Suppose for a “unmarked” pair (g, h)

$$\omega_\Delta(g) \wedge \omega_\Delta(h) \neq \omega_\Delta(g \cap h)$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_\Delta(g) = \omega_\Delta(h) = 1 \text{ and } \omega_\Delta(g \cap h) = 0$$

As ω_Δ is monotone. This also means $\exists e_i, e_j \in \Delta$ such that $e_i \in H_g$ and $e_j \in H_h$. If $e_i = e_j$, $\omega_\Delta(g \cap h) = 1$. So $e_i \neq e_j$. Hence at most $18^2 (\log n)^4$ ω_Δ 's can be inconsistent with (g, h) . So the number of ω_Δ 's which are inconsistent with at least one of the “unmarked” pairs is $\leq t (18)^2 (\log n)^4$. Since $t (18)^2 (\log n)^4 < \binom{n}{3}$, there exists a ΔT such that ω_T is consistent with C .

Let $\omega_1 = \omega_T$.

Claim 4 $\omega_1(\phi) = 0$.

Proof: Let $e_i \in T$. $\mu(X_i) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Also for large enough n , $\mu(Q) \geq 1 - \frac{t}{n^3} > \frac{1}{2}$. $\Rightarrow \mu(Q \cap X_i) \neq 0$. $\Rightarrow Q \cap X_i \neq \phi$. The claim now follows by the definition of $\omega_\Delta = \omega_T$.

Claim 5 ω_1 defines a 3-clique.

Proof: Obvious from the construction.

So $\omega_1 \in \Omega_{FILTERS}$ and it is not covered by C . This is a contradiction. So t must be greater than or equal to the required bound. As shown in the previous lecture the minimum monotone circuit size is greater than or equal to $\hat{\rho}_{FILTERS}$. Hence the minimum monotone circuit size for computing 3-clique is $\geq \frac{\binom{n}{3}}{18^2 \log n^4}$.