

1 Notes on 23rd & 25th Mar.

1.1 Main Topic

In the previous class, we saw some complete sets for NC^1 and TC^0 . Today, we will introduce brief proofs of completeness for standard classes.

Let C be our favorite class (with a corresponding type of machine), then the set $\{(i, x, 1^n) : M_i(x) \text{ accepts in resource } n, \text{ where } i \text{ is an indexing of Turing machines for } C\}$ is complete for C .

For example:

1. $C = NP$, $B = \{(i, x, 1^n) : M_i(x) \text{ accepts in time } n\}$ is complete for NP (under $\leq_m^{AC^0}$)
 - (a) $B \in NP$
 - (b) Let $A \in NTIME(n^k)$, s.t. $A = L(M_i)$
 $x \in A \Leftrightarrow (i, x, 1^{|x|^k}) \in B$
 - (c) So, B is $NP \Leftrightarrow complete$
2. $C = NL$, $B = \{(i, x, 1^n) : M_i \text{ is an } NTM \text{ with a binary alphabet, and } M_i \text{ accepts } x \text{ within space } \log n\}$ is complete for NL (under $\leq_m^{AC^0}$)
 - (a) $B \in NL$
 - (b) Let A be in NL ,
then there is some $NTM M_i$ accepting A within space $k \log n$ (for some k).
Now x is in $A \Leftrightarrow (i, x, 1^{n^k}) \in B$.
 - (c) B is $NL \Leftrightarrow complete$.

1.2 Easy proof that SAT is NP – complete

Let $A \in NP$

There is a set $B \in P$, s.t.

$$x \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| = |x|^k \text{ and } (x, y) \in B$$

Recall that B has a $Dlogtime \Leftrightarrow uniform$ circuit family $\{C_n\}$:

$$x \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists y, C_{n+n^k} \text{ accepts on input } x \text{ and } y.$$

Thus, “Circuit-SAT” defined as below is $NP \Leftrightarrow complete$:

$$\{C : \text{there is a setting to the inputs of } C \text{ that makes } C \text{ output } 1\}.$$

Given a circuit C (without loss of generality, assume all its gates other than inputs and outputs are NOR gates), we can build a formula ϕ_c , s.t.

$$C \in \text{Circuit SAT} \Leftrightarrow \phi_c \in SAT.$$

ϕ_c has variables for each NOR gate of C and clauses of the following form:

if gate h and k are gate g 's two inputs, we'll have the clauses:

$$(g \vee \bar{h} \vee \bar{k}) \wedge (\bar{g} \vee h \vee k) \wedge (\bar{g} \vee h \vee \bar{k}) \wedge (\bar{g} \vee \bar{h} \vee k).$$

1.3 PSPACE's complete set

A quantified Boolean formula is a Boolean formula with quantifiers:

$$\exists x \forall y \exists z [(x \vee \bar{y}) \wedge (y \vee z \vee x)] \Leftrightarrow \forall y \exists z [(1 \vee y) \wedge (y \vee z \vee 1)] \vee \forall y \exists z [(0 \vee \bar{y}) \wedge (y \vee z \vee 0)] \quad (1)$$

Fact 1 : $TQBF = \{\phi : \phi \text{ is a QBF that is true}\}$ is complete for PSPACE.

Proof:

Let $A \in PSPACE$

Let $A = L(M)$ where M is a poly-time ATM that makes an alternation between existential and universal states in each step.

Clearly, $x \in L(M) \Leftrightarrow$

\exists moves @ time 1 s.t.

\forall moves @ time 2

$\exists \dots$

\dots

\forall moves at time n^k

M accepts along this path (this predicate is in NP, so we can build a formula ϕ , s.t. $\phi \in SAT \Leftrightarrow$ the predicate is true:

$$\exists m_1 \forall m_2 \dots \forall m_{n^k} \exists z_1 \exists z_2 \dots \exists z_r \phi(m_1 m_2 \dots m_{n^k}, z_1 z_2 \dots z_r) \in TQBF \Leftrightarrow x \in A$$

Fact 2 Most natural problems are complete for some complexity class (under AC^0 reducibility).

Theorem 1 (Ladner's theorem) Assume $P \neq NP$, then there is a set A in $NP \Leftrightarrow P$, s.t. A is not NP complete (under \leq_T^P).

Proof:

Assume $P \neq NP$

for each finite set B , and for each poly-time machine M_i

$$SAT \neq L(M_i^B), \text{ and } SAT \Leftrightarrow B \neq L(M_i)$$

Thus the following function is recursive:

$$r(i, n) = 1$$

$$r(2i, n) = \text{the least } m, \text{ s.t.}$$

for all $B \subseteq \Sigma^{\leq n}$

there is a $y, n < |y| < |y|^i \leq m$, s.t.

$$M_i(y) = 1 \Leftrightarrow y \notin SAT \Leftrightarrow B$$

$$r(2i+1, n) = \text{the least } m \text{ s.t. for all } B \subseteq \Sigma^{\leq n}$$

$\exists y, n < |y| < |y|^i \leq m$, s.t.

$$M_i^B(y) = 1 \Leftrightarrow y \notin SAT$$

Let $s(i)$ be time-constructible and $s(i) \geq r(i, s(i \Leftrightarrow 1))$

thus the set G is in P

$$\text{where } G = \{x : \exists \text{ an even } i, \text{ s.t. } s(i) \leq |x| \leq s(i+1)\}$$

Define $A = SAT \cap G$

claim:

$A \in NP$ (trivial conclusion)

$A \notin P$

A not $NP \Leftrightarrow complete$

$\Rightarrow A \notin P$

Assume $A \in P, A = L(M_i)$

Let $B = A \cap \Sigma^{\leq s(2i-1)}$

Thus, $\exists y, s(2i \Leftrightarrow 1) \leq |y|^i \leq r(2i, s(2i \Leftrightarrow 1)) \leq s(2i)$

s.t. $M_i(y) = 1 \Leftrightarrow y \notin SAT \Leftrightarrow B$
 $\Leftrightarrow y \notin (SAT \cap G)$ (since $y \notin B$)
 $\Leftrightarrow y \notin A$ (since $y \notin G$)

There is a contradiction, so $A \notin P$.

$\Rightarrow A$ is not $NP \Leftrightarrow complete$

Assume $SAT = M_i^A$ (if A is $NP \Leftrightarrow complete$, this is true)

Let $B = A \cap \Sigma^{\leq s(2i)}$

There is a string y , s.t.

$s(2i) \leq |y|^i \leq r(2i+1, s(2i)) \leq s(2i+1)$ s.t.

$M_i^B = 1 \Leftrightarrow y \notin SAT$

Note that: M_i^i runs in n^i time,

M_i^B does not ask any queries about strings longer than $|y|^i \leq s(2i+1)$

Thus, $M_i^B(y) = M_i^A(y)$ (A has no strings in the gap)

Thus, $M_i^A(y) = 1 \Leftrightarrow y \in SAT$

There is a contradiction, so A is not $NP \Leftrightarrow complete$.

End of Proof of Ladner's theorem.

Note: How to compute $s(i)$

```
on input  $i$ 
start a clock
if  $i = 1$ 
    output one constant  $k$ 
else
    compute  $s(i)$ 
    compute  $r(i, s(i))$ 
    output the current clock value
end
```

1.4 New Concept:

Factoring = $\{(x, i, b) : \text{the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ bit of the prime factorization of } x \text{ is } b\}$, where prime factorization of x is the string:

$x = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ where $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$ and $e_i > 0$.

Fact 3 1. $\text{Factoring} \in NP$

2. $\text{Factoring} \in UP = \{A \in NP : A = L(M_i) \text{ s.t. } M_i(x) \text{ has } \leq 1 \text{ accepting path}\}$

3. $\text{Factoring} \in CoNP$, use the opposite (if b is correct, reject).

Fact 4 If Factoring is $NP \Leftrightarrow \text{complete}$, then $NP = CoNP$.

Since it seems that $NP \neq CoNP$, we guess that Factoring is not in $NP \Leftrightarrow \text{complete}$.

Question 1 Is $NP \subseteq P/Poly$? (Here, $P/Poly = \{A : A \text{ has circuit family of poly } s \text{ size}\}$)

If this is true, there is a circuit of poly size that can provide answers for input of size n.

Fact 5 $NP \subseteq P/Poly \Rightarrow NP^{NP} = CoNP^{NP}$
 $\Leftrightarrow \Sigma_2^P = \Pi_2^P$

Fact 6 Let n be given, most of the functions $\{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ require circuit of size $\geq 2^{\sqrt{n}}$

Proof:

Note that a circuit of size s can be described by a string of size $\leq 15s \log s$, the number of functions having a small circuits \leq the number of strings describing circuits $\leq 2^{15\sqrt{n}2^{\sqrt{n}}}$, which is $\ll 2^{2^n}$.