## Errata: Theory of Computation [1]

Dexter Kozen Department of Computer Science Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14853-7501, USA kozen@cs.cornell.edu

These are errata discovered in the first printing of the text [1]. I would be grateful to receive from readers any further errors, omissions, comments, or suggestions. All contributions will be acknowledged.

- p. 12 In Theorem 2.3, only the premise regarding the time lower bound is needed; the space bound is not. The significance of the premise should be explained.
- pp. 44ff. Email from Martin Orr:

I have been studying your book 'Theory of Computation', and I believe I have found an error in Lecture 7, on alternation. I was unable to find errata for the book on the web.

You consider the order  $\perp \leq 0, \perp \leq 1$  on functions  $C \to \{0, 1, \perp\}$ (written  $\sqsubseteq$ ) and say "The set of labelings forms a complete lattice under  $\sqsubseteq$ ." This is surely false, because the constant 0 and constant 1 labelings have no upper bound. This invalidates the use of the Knaster-Tarski theorem to construct a labeling  $\ell^*$  of the configurations of an ATM.

Presumably the correct statement would be that the set of labelings is a complete partial order, and use of a fixpoint theorem for CPOs. But Supplementary Lecture A, which contains a proof of the Knaster-Tarski theorem, does not discuss fixpoints for CPOs.

pp. 98, 106 Email from Dmitry Shkurko:

It seems that there should be p instead of q in  $z^{q-1} - 1$  at the page 98 (searching roots of resultant in  $GP_p$ ). On the page 106 you also implicitly assume high density of prime numbers, i.e. something like  $\pi(n) n/\ln n$ , because  $\pi(n) > \ln n$  is insufficient there.

- **pp. 107, 108** This argument implicitly uses the Schwartz–Zippel Lemma (Corollary 13.3, p. 79). The reference should be made explicit.
- **p. 116**  $\{0,1\}^{n^c}$  should be  $\{0,1\}^{c \log n}$  (2 places)
- **p. 158** Diagram: the first horizontal transition should be labeled (1, -)
- p. 196 "... we can to show..." should be "... we can show..."
- **p. 202** second paragraph: need  $a = \max 2k + 4, t + 1$  (needed for application of 31.3)
- **p. 258** In the last two lines,  $\lor$  and  $\land$  are switched
- **p. 290** Ex. 7:  $o(\log n)$  should be o(n)
- p. 295 Ex. 36: NLOGSPACE should be DLOGSPACE
- p. 309 Ex. 101 and 102 are the same. Ex. 103 is the same as 97.
- p. 319 Ex. 2: This is a solution to the wrong problem.

## References

[1] Dexter Kozen. Theory of Computation. Springer, New York, 2006.